

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

		/	www.uspto.gov		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/429,986	10/29/1999	YUJI YAMADA	7217/60017	6609	
7	7590 01/30/2003				
JAY H MAIC			EXAMINER		
COOPER & DUNHAM LLP 1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10036			PENDLETO	PENDLETON, BRIAN T	
NEW YORK,	NY 10036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2644		

DATE MAILED: 01/30/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

3						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	09/429,986	YAMADA, YUJI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The AGAILING DATE of this communication and	Brian T. Pendleton	2644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	lears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 N	November 2002 .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 4-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2 and 3</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2644

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "processing the n-channel audio signals in accordance with predetermined finite impulse response characteristics" in lines 3-4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 8 recites the limitation "filtering the n-channel audio signals in accordance with predetermined finite impulse response characteristics" in lines 4-5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 1 and 8 additionally contain the phrase (n \Rightarrow 1, positive integer). That phrase is unclear. Examiner is examining the merits of the claims under the assumption that the phrase is meant to be (n \geq = 1, positive integer).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Art Unit: 2644

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1, 4, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ogawa et al. In figure 8, Ogawa et al disclose a sound field controller comprising first filter means 20 for converting an input signal 11 into two-channel signals and processing the input signal using FIR filters and a pair of second filter means 61, 62 for setting different delay times of the two-channel signal output from filter 20 and output means 16a, 16b which are headphones. See column 16 line 14-38 for an explanation of the filter means 61 and 62.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ogawa et al in view of Inanaga et al. Ogawa et al teach an apparatus having a first filter means, second filter means and a headphone. Ogawa et al do not teach that the headphone has detection means for detecting rotational movement of the listener's head and varying the transfer functions of the second filter means in response to the movement. However, that feature was taught and suggested by Inanaga et al. It was advantageous to have a vibratory gyroscope in a headphone system for the purpose of

Art Unit: 2644

r: 09/429,986 Page 4

changing a filter's characteristics since under normal listening conditions without headphones, a listener's experience will change with the rotation of his/her head. Therefore, the use of the gyroscope added more realism to the listening experience. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the teaching of Inanaga et al in the invention described by Ogawa et al. The modified Ogawa et al invention would include a gyroscope 30 (having piezoelectric pieces, per claim 6) who output, which detects head angle, is coupled to the second filter means 61 and 62.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ogawa et al in view of Yamada et al. As discussed above, Ogawa et al do not disclose head detection means, specifically a geomagnetic azimuth sensor. Yamada et al teach a headphone system having such sensor and changing the delay times of circuit elements 30 according to head movement. For the same reasons above, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Ogawa et al to have a geomagnetic azimuth sensor for the purpose of changing delay times of the second filter means in response to head turning.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2 and 3 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2644

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian T. Pendleton whose telephone number is (703) 305-9509. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Forester W. Isen can be reached on (703) 305-4386. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Art Unit: 2644

Page 6

222 Brian Tyrone Pendleton January 26, 2003